



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_63919
Title of the Manuscript:	Implications of philately in promoting the protected natural areas (VI) - Piatra Craiului Park
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In order to support the notion of sustainable development and give the article the character of a marketing tool, I consider important a few additional / general information for those interested in tourism in the area: marked trails, mountain refuges, limiting factors, etc.	
Minor REVISION comments	In an exhaustive approach, in order to understand the local and regional context, the explanation of the toponymy of the name Piatra Craiului, with German origin (Königstein, or The King's stone in English) can find its place. In the same context of the exhaustive approach we could find the connection between philately and marketing (philately as a marketing tool).	
Optional/General comments	The reviewed article is very well documented but at the same time synthetic, capturing the essential aspects related to the presence of the research subject in forms of philately and the important attributes of the region / national park Piatra Craiului (flora, fauna, karst phenomena, etc.).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gheorghe Lucaciu
Department, University & Country	University of Oradea, Romania