



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_52646
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Schistosomiasis in Africa: Evolutional Origin and Diagnostic Challenges
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1.0 INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Paragraph 1 line 3 it should be modified as egg/ova morphology not just morphology. - Paragraph 1 line 7-9 can be rephrased as "Human become infected through skin penetration when comes in contact with an infective stage of the parasite/cercariae often during various water contact activities in an infested water" - Paragraph 2 line 2 here I think is necessary also to mention the other type of the disease which is intestinal schistosomiasis and the species involved. - Paragraph 3 here I think it is also necessary to mention distribution of intestinal schistosomiasis in sub-saharan Africa. <p>3.0 EPIDEMIOLOGY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Paragraph 2 line 9 insert " intermediate host" before the snail. <p>4.0 EVOLUTIONARY ORIGIN OF SCHISTOSOMA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Paragraph 1 line 3 you should rephrase that the distribution of schistosoma parasite is related with water contact and instead of the egg morphology. <p>6.0 LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS OF SCHISTOSOMIASIS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Here you emphasize on urinary schistosomiasis but I think it will be better to also include intestinal schistosomiasis as is problem in Africa and so much work has been done in it too. - 6.4 The heading should be rephrase as "Immunological techniques for diagnosis of schistosomiasis" not only S. haematobium since these techniques are also used in the diagnosis of the intestinal schistosomiasis using the same samples. - I think you should also include the parasitological methods for intestinal schistosomiasis like kato kartz technique, formal ether concentration technique and direct smear technique. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rowel Candia
Department, University & Country	Uganda