



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_54554
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE OF FIRST AID AND EMERGENCY CARE AMONG THE EDUCATED CLASS OF AURANGABAD, MAHARASHTRA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I congratulate the authors of the study for the initiative to develop a research with the mentioned theme. The study is extremely relevant and current; the authors identify scientific gaps that can be deepened in other studies. I suggest these adjustments for material improvement:</p> <p>Abstract: suggest that the authors deepen the description of the study design including the type of study and the approach, since in the article there is only a description of the type of design adopted (Cross sectional study).</p> <p>As this is an article, it is suggested that the authors adopt only one objective for the development of the article. Thus, I suggest leaving only the general objective, as it responds to all the other objectives of the study. I recommend leaving "assess the level of knowledge"</p> <p>Introduction: it's recommended that the authors include a definition of what first aid is, bringing contextualization to the reader who does not know the subject. The importance of making this definition is emphasized, citing the scientific literature.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction, it is recommended to revise the wording "This research aims to assess knowledge of first aid and emergency care among classes educated in Aurangabad", as shown again in objective "1". It's suggested to leave only: "The objective of the research is:" and leave only objective number "1", excluding the others from the list (it is important to highlight that this new description does not compromise the development of the study)</p> <p>Methodology: Include the approval number of the ethics committee; include information about the items in the questionnaire; describe how the workshop seminars took place. It is important that this detail is carried out to guide other researchers who want to reproduce the study in other locations and countries.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: authors should point out the most common misconceptions of first aid practices among the educated class in Aurangabad, making readers aware of the study's findings.</p> <p>It's recommended to deepen the discussion by associating the national and international scientific literature with the results inherent to the most common errors in the first aid practices identified in the research.</p> <p>It's recommended that the authors remove the authors' names from the studies used in the discussion and leave only the number of citations that will be correlated in the references (Vancouver norm).</p> <p>Authors should associate the passage referred to as "Adineki et al" with reference number 10 and include the reference of "Gafure et al" in the list of references cited in the study, given that there is a quote in the discussion of the passage of the study by that author.</p> <p>It's recommended that after adjustments the authors review the order of citations.</p> <p>Conclusion: It's recommended that the authors remove from the conclusion the initial passage that was referred to as reference number 8, as references should not be used in the conclusion of studies.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The authors cite in the text only some ethical considerations, but do not cite in the manuscript the approval number of the ethics committee. It is necessary to verify the approval for the study.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fabiana Lopes Joaquim
Department, University & Country	Brazil