



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_63613
Title of the Manuscript:	Elevated Levels of Lactate Dehydrogenase Predicts Poor Outcomes For Patients with COVID-19: A Review
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The title of the article and the discussion does not tally. The discussion needs to be focused on current available data on the usefulness of LDH in COVID-19 which I believe the authors failed to do.</p> <p>The abstract failed to summarise the review article adequately.</p> <p>The "modes of transference" section included the possibility of vampires. I did not find the citation that explained this.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The formation of the sentences and the use of certain terms are not what is expected in a scientific review article. Example"</p> <p>A satisfactory evidence exists by binding elevated lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)"</p> <p>"attributed to no known reason"</p> <p>"hastily diffused"</p> <p>"because of its elevated consistency"</p> <p>"Disease emerged"</p> <p>"regarded to begin"</p> <p>Etc</p> <p>I believe these need to be targeted in order for the article to be considered for a further review</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I suggest the authors review the English used and narrow the discussion to focus on LDH and COVID-19.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Andrea Ban Yu-Lin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Kebangsaan Medical Centre, Malaysia</b>