



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_64330
Title of the Manuscript:	Addressing the Challenges of Containing Covid-19 Spread in a Rural, Poor Area in India: A Case Study
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I believe that the contextualization of the problem situation as well as a coherence between the objectives has been achieved; The work methodology is consistent and adequate, however, I would like to better understand the effectiveness of this project in the community; Not disqualifying the project, quite the contrary, however, I just stressed the need to detail the collected results a little better. Although the journal does not disqualify any article simply because it is current or not, I qualify it as a current, relevant and extremely necessary discussion at the present time.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I particularly liked the way the author approached the strategies implemented in the community to contain the spread of the pandemic in rural India. Proposals that need to be implemented as a matter of urgency in public policies of world governments and, especially, those most affected by the pandemic, as the author himself points out in the conclusion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Gomes da Silva Teles
Department, University & Country	Fluminense University Center, Brazil