



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_66307
Title of the Manuscript:	Patients' spirituality in clinical practice: Physicians' attitude and self-reported behaviour at a tertiary health facility, Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a good start. I would like to commend the investigators for their interest in this field "role of R/S in medicine". It is a good idea to use Curlin's "Religion and Spirituality in Medicine, Physicians Perspective" (RSMPP) questionnaire.</p> <p>I don't have any objection for publishing this article however, I would encourage the investigators to find ways to enhance their scientific vigor.</p> <p>At this point this article may be at best called a survey report</p> <p>This study may be made robust, for example, by Comparative study of the same parameters (RSMPP) in two different settings such as an "Allopathic hospital setting Vs. Traditional Alternative African-Medicine" setting or "Rural Hospital vs. Urban Hospital" etc.</p> <p>The investigators may refer to the studies in this link which also has used the same questionnaire: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=RSMPP</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	English language and sentence constructions.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramakrishnan Parameshwaran
Department, University & Country	Graduate Theological Union, USA