



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJMPCP_54730 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ethanollic Extract of Garcinia kola (Heckel) Seed possesses Antiplasmodial Properties against Plasmodium berghei |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ I strongly suggest sending this MS to a specialist on English language usage for a revision. ♣ Methods in the Abstract are too long and specific. That part should contain only those essential data of used methodology. ♣ In contrasts, very scarce information is given in Results. Please add your more important findings. ♣ Page 3, second column, almost last paragraph. 2.6 Statistical Analysis. Information about used statistical test is lacking. ♣ Page 3, second column, almost paragraph. 3. RESULTS. Explanatory information is very scarce. It is necessary providing some data about significance on differences among treatments. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ Background in the Abstract is improperly written by exclusively using italics. Similarly, Aim in the same section mix italics and non-italic I an improper way. Please fix. ♣ Avoid beginning a sentence with only the abbreviate first name in a scientific name. Please write the complete name of the genus. ♣ "Swiss albino mice" is not a good key word, because that phrase do not contributes to deeply explaining your contribution. What about using "malaria" instead? ♣ Page 1, first column, first paragraph. It said "Sierra Lone". Please fix it. ♣ Pag1, second column, last paragraph; it can be read "G. kola belongs to a family of tropical plants known as Guttifera [2]". That is not true. The proper name of the family is Clusiaceae. ♣ Page 2, second column, first paragraph. This phrase "Malaria is a vector-borne infectious disease that is widespread in tropical and subtropical regions" does not match with the previous and next terms. Please move to the beginning of the next paragraph. Just before "Currently, there is a considerable increase in mortality caused by malaria due to the rapid..." ♣ Please double check that every scientific name is written according to ICZN regulations. Please only write the first letter as capital and the rest in lowercase letters, and be careful on writing the full name in italics. ♣ Page 2, second column, lines 15-21. It can be read"The selection of plants to be screened for <u>antimalarial</u> activity is done on the basis of traditional reputation of particular plants for efficacy in the treatment of malaria. Scientists therefore have embarked on a mission to survey the flora extensively to discover more and more potential plants have <u>insecticidal</u> properties [19]". This paragraph is confusing, because the authors begin writing about plants as antimalarial agents and continue with the insecticidal properties of those plants. Please rewrite, for coherence. ♣ Page 3, first column, third paragraph. Please avoid writing quantities using numbers and letters. 2.3 Experimental Animal and Curative Test. Sixty (60). ♣ I think is better avoid using quotation marks in the titles of every cited studied in References ♣ Please double check that every journal name are properly written, using a capital letter for the first letter of each word it was written in italics. Be careful on writing complete names for journals on references 22-24, 27. Reference 25 lacks of data related to the journal where it was published. | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Optional/General comments | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | José Alejandro Martínez Ibarra |
| Department, University & Country | University of Guadalajara, Mexico |