



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_52881
Title of the Manuscript:	LATE HAEMORRHAGIC DISEASE OF NEWBORN: CAN IT BE PREVENTED BY CHANGING PROPHYLAXIS POLICY?
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors present a case serie (8 patients) with late hemorrhagic disease of the newborn. They give a good overview, bring a short presentation to discuss the importance of supplementation strategies of Vitamine K. Breast feeding (strict breast feeding in the first months of life as in the case of the reported patients) is presented as a possible risk factor....mostly in those children with biliary disease, beside application form of Vitamina K after birth. I suggest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Althou it is a retrospective case study, there should be a protocol from Ethic comission to allow publication of patients and ct scans</li> <li>- In methods you list o a lot of exams and evaluations of the patients, however, not all were presented, so explain diagnosis protocol.</li> <li>- It is not necessary to show all figures of CT scans, as they are quite similar, one figure is ok</li> <li>- Be more clear with your proposal (new strategy) for the new protocol of Vitamina K administration, I did not find in conclusions what you would suggest to do</li> <li>- Be more clear and more careful when affirming that breastfeeding would be a risk factor, even strict breastfeeding which is recommended for a baby up to 6 months can not in general be exposed as a risk factor</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some English corrections	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The authors did not include if the study was registered at local ethic commission	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Silke Anna Theresa Weber</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>