



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_58338
Title of the Manuscript:	Concurrent Sickle Cell Anemia and Diabetes Mellitus with ketosis in a Libyan Toddler: First National Report and Youngest Case Study
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>This report, presented the first Libyan case study of co-existence of Sickle Cell Anemia and Diabetes Mellitus with ketosis in a toddler which is a rare combination. The report is informative with interesting findings. Besides the authors tried to follow the key guidelines of writing clinical case reports. However, I recommend the following and I believe they will help to improve this manuscript:</p> <p><b>Introduction</b>            Line 40: Mediterranean and Indian “background”. The word background in this context is confusing, better change it to “origin”            Line 45-46: “SCA is considered as a chronic disabling condition with multi-system involvement attributing to several factors including chronic anemia.....” the sentence is long and not clear. Consider splitting it “This is attributed to several factors including.....”            Line 49: “to include in common growth delay”. This sentence is not clear, rewrite it to make the meaning clear            Line 52-53: “is well documented in the literature”. This phrase means it is documented in more than one study, so can you please add atleast another reference where it is documented.            Line 62-63: “raised claims concerning allegedly protective”. The words claim and allegedly mean almost the same thing, its sounds like a repetition. Consider rewriting this sentence using one of the two words, while retaining the meaning.</p> <p><b>Case report</b>            Line 89: “pale but not icteric”. In this sentence if “but” applies only to “pale”, not other findings listed, then put it in brackets- “pale (but not icteric)”, otherwise it means all the other findings a part from “pale” where not found on examination.</p> <p><b>Discussion</b>            Line 120: “who presented in DKA” consider changing to “who presented with DKA”            Line 145: “Despite prevalence of the combined diagnosis of SCA and DM is very negligible,...” wrong grammar and the sentence is confusing. Rewrite the sentence to make it more clear and correct.            Line 154: “routine measuring blood sugar” change it to “routine measurement of blood sugar”            Line 162: “such complication” it is more than one complication, so change the sentence to “such complications”</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b>            Line 176: “have been suggested as leading factors” leading factors of what...? It is incomplete, please make this sentence complete so that it is clearer.            Line 178-9: “properly guide about diagnosis” change it to “properly guide diagnosis.....”</p> <p><b>Table 1</b>            Line 226: Edit the tittle of table 1 to make it precise and clear. For example; “Table 1. Lab parameters of the toddler before concurrent sickle cell anemia with diabetes mellitus diagnosis was made”.</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs thorough grammar editing to correct the small grammar issues noted. See some of the tracked changes in the manuscript</p> <p>The references should be formatted according to the journal's format</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The case report has interesting findings worth reporting, and will be improved after considering the suggested points.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Joseph Kawuki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Southeast University, China</b>