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| Title of the manuscript under review: Effect of ascorbic acid on glyphosate-induced hematological and serological pathology in juvenile catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*)  
Manuscript number: Ms_AJRAVS_62442  
Content of the review:  
Title - Include/plasma the classifier of the species under investigation, the *Clarias gariepinus*;  
that is, the author who classified it and the year he did so (Burdrell, 1882)  
- The order and family of the species under analysis/research must be shown in parentheses  
**SUMMARY**  
- It is structured and well conceived, just review in the Methodology, specifically the penultimate line, since there are two points and then, when it is only one  
- Keywords, would be much better ordered alphabetically  
1. **INTRODUCTION**  
- Why does the first paragraph not have a margin to the right of the first line, while the rest of the paragraphs do (2nd and 3rd)?  
- It uses a total of 12 bibliographic references in that section/academic, out of a total of 40 in the whole manuscript, which is equivalent to 30%, but only four are from the last ten years (10%), while eight are from before the last ten years, for 20%, but none are from the last five years  
2. **MATERIAL AND METHODS**  
- When referring to ordinal numbers, from 1 to 10, it should be done/plasmatized with letters, as in the cases of the third paragraph (in four juveniles), and the fifth paragraph (in three labeled replicas)  
- In the seventh paragraph, another 144 what?  
3. **RESULTS**  
- Review the first two paragraphs, as they lack a margin to the right of the first line, as well as the last paragraph of that section/accord  
4. **DISCUSSION**  
- First paragraph with no margin to the right of the first line  
- Of a total of 25 references in this section, 4/10% are from the last five years, 9/22.5% are from before 2010  
- Include more up-to-date literature, especially from the last five years to make discussion of the results much more robust and up-to-date  
**PART 2:**  
- Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? |
- Ethical approval of this study was granted by the Research and Ethics Committee of Nnamdi Azikiwe University in September 2018
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</thead>
<tbody>
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