



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRB_55431</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mineral, fatty and amino acids composition of three species of mollusks (Egeria radiata, Limicolaria flammea and Viviparus contectus)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mention the purpose of selecting these three species for nutritional analysis study.</li> <li>2. These shellfishes are highly available one?</li> <li>3. Why author didn't study the proximate composition?</li> <li>4. Morphometric data is not given</li> <li>5. How much sample author selected for this study?</li> <li>6. What about the study period?</li> <li>7. Samples drying time and temperature?</li> <li>8. In minerals methodology, after digestion filtration step is missing and also mention what are the minerals they studied?</li> <li>9. Better to give study area map and animals figures</li> <li>10. Table 1 heading- metal or mineral?</li> <li>11. Result content is not enough, please elaborate your result</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Very short communication especially the content was taken for the study (only amino, fatty and amino acids). Results and discussion also very less. Details of the HPLC and GC spectrum was missing for understanding the results. The research concept was good but include the above mentioned points in your manuscript. Then the paper will accepted for publication	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>K.Immaculate Jeyasanta</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Sdmri, India</i></b>