



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_60770
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Phytochemical content of Cissus populnea (Okoho) Stem Bark
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Proximate Composition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Specify in Table 1, Table 2 and table 3 if the results shown are for 100g of the plant. If not, make it clear to the reader - In table 3 put what ND means in the legend - <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i>: is not in italics like the other scientific names 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Well-written article, with clear and objective language, which brings up a very important topic on plant composition for popular use.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Drielly Rodrigues Viudes
Department, University & Country	Instituto de Gestão e Pesquisa em Saúde, Brazil