



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRB_60874 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Aqueous Extract of Khaya grandifoliola C. DC. Stem Bark on some Disaccharidases Activity in Iron-Deficient Weanling Rats |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Interesting work that investigated "The effect of aqueous extract of Khaya grandifoliola on some disaccharidases activity in iron-deficient weanling rats"</p> <p>Introduction presents the problem statement and the relevance of the research topic clearly and precisely</p> <p>In the methodology, the experimental study carried out is described in detail, so it is a replication study is possible</p> <p>Results are well presented and analyzed,</p> <p>The discussion is in accordance with the results, I suggest highlighting in this section, the fact that these findings may be relevant to people's health.</p> <p>The paper can be accepted after minor revision at the presented form after highlighted some points given below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For a better understanding, I suggest structuring the abstract as follows: Aim, Methods, Results and Conclusion. 2. Review the presentation of citations; they must be in accordance with the author guidelines of the journal. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Minor suggestions were made throughout the text | |
| Optional/General comments | | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | <i>Eugenia Henriquez D'Aquino</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>University of Chile, Chile</i> |