



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_63861
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Bactericidal and Fungicidal efficacy of Strychno spotatorum Linn.(Nirmali) seeds.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The second and third paragraphs in "Preparation of the McFarland standard" are essentially MIC and should be removed. 2. Tables 3 has 2 tables overlapping – I am not sure if this is authors' fault or editorial office's fault. 3. Statistical inference, such as t-test, should be used to determine whether the extracts show significant MICs / disc diffusions compared to chloram 4. Scientific names are poorly written - <i>S.potatorum</i> should be <i>S. potatorum</i> – there is a space between genus and species name after the full-stop. 5. Many proof-reading errors. For example, there can be three errors in one sentence – "The results of the study indicated <i>S.potatorum</i>seeds extract showed significant inhibitory activity against Gram-positive bacteria, Bacillus subtilis and gram negative bacteria Vibrio cholera." Gram staining must always be capitalized – Gram is a person's surname. 6. I am disappointed as this is these kind of mistakes (4 and 5) that should be corrected at a first-year biology course. 	
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	NIL	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maurice HT Ling
Department, University & Country	Perdana University, Malaysia