



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_52542
Title of the Manuscript:	A hybrid approach for skew detection and correction in the multi-script scanned document
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors need to proof-read this manuscript as there are grammatical errors and typos in this manuscript. For example, "harris" should be "Harris". Is the term "harries" correct? In the abstract, "Optical Character Recognition" is used, but at the first line of Section 1, "optical character recognition" is used (i.e. the issue of the usage of capital letters, please be consistent). 2. In Section 1, the authors introduce the skew types. I personally, cannot see the multiple skews in single text line in Figure 1(c). Better example is needed. 3. It is also better if the authors could specify in Section 1 the types of skew considered for this work. 4. Page 2, line 72. Why the authors consider the text from several languages. Do languages contribute to the accuracy of the algorithm? 5. Last paragraph on page 2 needs more elaborations. Currently it is too short, but has many citations. 6. Page 3, equations need to be numbered. First equation on page 3; better to use symbols instead of spell-out the variables. 7. Page 3, section B is too short. Better to provide equations, and example. 8. Section 3 should cover topics presented on the last paragraph on page 2, for example, Harris corner detector. 9. Please cite the reference for "Radon method with harries corner" in Tables 1 and 2. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haidi Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia