



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_66093
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the Viability and bacterial flora of two varieties of cowpea Sold in Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Interesting study. But the heading could be changed to an attractive manner. Some parameters indicated in the abstract are missing in the results and discussion section. Treatments and replicates are not clearly stated in the methodology. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa.</p> <p>Authors are requested to conclude the results on the basis of their findings in experimental part.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The suggested corrections should be considered before publishing the article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vassanthini Ratnarajah
Department, University & Country	Eastern University, Sri Lanka