



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_63287
Title of the Manuscript:	Febrile polyarthritis : should we think about disseminated gonococcal infection
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>(1) In all cases, there is lack of detailing of sexual exposure history.</p> <p>(2) In Case 1 and Case 3, menstrual history should be mentioned. As menstrual history is a risk factor for Disseminated Gonococcal Infection.</p> <p>(3) In Case 1 and Case 2, mention about the past history of urethritis or vaginal/cervical discharge.</p> <p>(4) In Case 1 – (a) Please specify about steroid follow up whether steroids were continued or not? (b) Explain about the improvement in Hb. How it was relevant?</p> <p>(5) In Case 2 – (a) Detailed treatment history- drug doses & duration, in Gonorrhea , which was given to patient. (b) Treatment of SLE, continued or stopped should be mentioned.</p> <p>(6) In Case 3 – Mention about the history of aggravating factor for Disseminated Gonococcal Infection.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>(1) In Discussion, (a) Discuss about the clinical relevant differentials of "febrile polyarthritis" (b) Add reference to "Though not as common as chlamydia, gonorrhea is the second most frequently reported infectious disease nationwide, with 583,4 cases reported in 2018."</p> <p>(2) Make appropriate changes in conclusion according to your case study title.</p> <p>(3) Correct spelling and grammar mistakes, Formation of proper sentence is needed.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>If possible, avoid abbreviations (like ECBU, VS, GB etc.) and use full form.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neela M. Patel
Department, University & Country	Gujarat University, India