



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_53346
Title of the Manuscript:	Defensive capability of rocket seed (<i>Eruca sativa</i>) extract against monosodium glutamate induced liver and kidney toxicity in male rats.
Type of Article:	Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>A. Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authors did not include rocket seed in the introductory section of the abstract, the authors must address this issue. The authors did not include a brief summary of how liver and kidney function test was assed. The results section of the abstract must be summarized for clarity Overall, the authors should consider rewriting the whole abstract. <p>B. Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authors did not improve the introduction section, too many grammar and spelling errors. The authors must improve the introduction section before considering the manuscript for publication. The authors did not mention any previous studies that reported the protective effect of rocket seed on hepato-renal toxicity' Please it is very important that the authors address these issues, if the manuscript should be considered for publication. <p>C. Materials and methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flow charts of research design was not included in the revised manuscript. Still the authors did not mention how they arrived at the doses of MSG and rocket seeds, its very important if the authors address this scientific issue. The authors did not improve the statistical analysis method, one-way ANOVA was not mentioned. <p>D. Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results section needs to be improved for clarity The authors included the p values in the tables, which is very commendable, however, the author should consider creating a sperate column for the p values for all comparison. <p>E. Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overall, the discussion section needs serious editing for grammar and spelling errors. The discussion section needs to be summarized for clarity. <p>F. General comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authors need put more efforts on the revised manuscript in order to add more quality and clarity to the manuscript. 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Abubakar Kabeer</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria</i>