



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJRIMPS_ 53600
Title of the Manuscript:	URETER KINKED AROUND THE SUPERIOR MESENTERIC VEIN CAUSING FEATURES OF PELVIURETERIC JUNCTION OBSTRUCTION: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Could you add a few more case reports or other such cases in literature? What could be the reason of this kinking? Was there any anomalous origin or course of blood vessels?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Line 42,43,44 - The redundant pelvis <b>alongwith</b> the grossly dilated ureter was excised and the pelviureteric anastomosis was done over a 6F double J stent to bring it anterior to SMV (Fig.3).	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rana Choudhary</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>