



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_54778
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Practice of Self-Medication among University Students in Pakistan through Online Resources
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	All comments included in the manuscript (words highlighted in green colour (e.g. all); its new added one. While words highlighted in yellow this is modified word(e.g. all). Also, words like this (e.g. all) should be deleted. Some of comments are optional if you prefer to do it. The study topic is very interesting and important issue to be discussed. The introduction is very related and organized; I'm very interesting during reading it. The aim not clear, subjects and methods need modifications as mentioned in the manuscript. The results of analysis are correctly interpreted and/or conclusions are sound. Tables and figures are appropriate and adequate. Tables and figures are appropriate and adequate. The paper is easy to read and free from grammatical or spelling errors. Discussion needs optional modifications. References included the recent and old one.	
Optional/General comments	See the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atyat Mohammed Hassan
Department, University & Country	Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia