



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIZ_53151</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on the Prevalence of Ectoparasitic Arthropods on Free Range Gallus domesticus in Two Communities in Awka</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In 50<sup>th</sup> line correct as "..... caused according to Richards and David [10], are"</li> <li>2. In 61<sup>st</sup> line correct as "Moyer [14] stated that"</li> <li>3. In 67<sup>th</sup> line correct as "Permin and Bisgaard[16] observed that, mismanagement..."</li> <li>4. In 69<sup>th</sup> line correct as "Permin and Bisgaard[16] also reported that ....."</li> <li>5. In 70<sup>th</sup> line correct as "Parasitic diseases in poultry, being the major disease of birds, have..."</li> <li>6. In 89<sup>th</sup> line correct as "chicken was caught"</li> <li>7. In 94<sup>th</sup> line correct as "legs as described by Banda [18]."</li> <li>8. In 101<sup>th</sup> line correct as "as described by Mukaratirwa S and Khumalo [15] and Bala [19]."</li> <li>9. In 109<sup>th</sup> line correct as "established using identification guides by Wall and Shearer [20]."</li> <li>10. In 160<sup>th</sup> line put "<i>L. caponis</i>" in italics</li> <li>11. In 178<sup>th</sup> line correct as "recorded by Ikpeze [2] for chicken". In 179<sup>th</sup>, 180<sup>th</sup>, 191<sup>st</sup>, 193<sup>rd</sup>, 196<sup>th</sup>, 199<sup>th</sup>, 207<sup>th</sup>, line too correct the references accordingly</li> <li>12. In 208<sup>th</sup> line correct as "the result of Bui [26] and Banda [18] differ with"</li> <li>13. In 209<sup>th</sup> line correct as "Bui [26] reported <i>A. persicus</i> to"</li> <li>14. In 210<sup>th</sup> line correct as "in Maiduguri, while Banda [18], reported <i>C. mutans</i>"</li> <li>15. In 218<sup>th</sup> line as "However, Shanta <i>et al</i> [23] found <i>M. stramineus</i>". In 221<sup>st</sup> 222<sup>nd</sup>, 226<sup>th</sup> and 227<sup>th</sup> line too correct accordingly.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R. Dhivya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nirmala College for Women, India</b>