



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_51867
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital squamous cell carcinoma: Case report and review of literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The description of the case report is well conducted, and the brief review of literature about digital squamous cell carcinoma reports sufficient references. The manuscript is generally well written though English editing is recommended.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 31: "ray" in the sense of? It seems wrong. Lines 74-75: this point is unclear. Line 75: I do not understand the meaning of "webspac". Line 97: "polycyclic", not "polycystic"	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francesca Gorini
Department, University & Country	Italy