



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_58408
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF PRE- AND POST-OPERATIVE PATIENTS IN THE VISCERAL SURGERY DEPARTMENT.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction is insufficient.                      Material and methods are not concrete, they have to define the day of preop when all this was taken, in the postoperative period also.                      In the observations, there is no tabulation of the findings. The postoperative nutrition is dependent on the cause of surgery also, so it needs correlation as it becomes a confounding factor, similarly the duration of stay is dependent on the type and cause of surgery. All these factors need to be addressed.                      In the discussion, the study population of the previous studies need to be compared with the present study                      The conclusion is ineffective                      The reference are not in Vancouver style and many of them are too old.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the manuscript is not well-written and there is apparent loss of focus along with syntax and language errors	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rajul Rastogi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Teerthanker Mahaveer University, India</b>