



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_64451
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Divergence in Sorghum [<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench] Genotypes under Contrasting Moisture Environments
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-> Introduction - I understand the social and economic importance of Sorghum. But I suggest being more succinct and objective in the writing about this topic;</p> <p>-> Material and methods - Statistical analysis should be described in this topic, not in Results and Discussion. Furthermore, a better explanation of statistical analysis is necessary;</p> <p>-> Results and Discussion -The authors describe the significant and highly significant statistical differences. You must choose an α that you want to use as a criterion to determine whether the differences found are related to randomness or the analyzed treatments. I don't see why making this difference between the levels of significance. *Suggestions: Describe in the text or in the table which genotypes are in each cluster; Perform Cluster and PCA analysis with the variables of the two treatments (stressed and non-stressed).</p> <p>-> Conclusions: This topic is not abstract. You don't need to repeat the same information as is in the introduction. In this topic, you have to finalize your manuscript with the conclusions that the work generated. For example, it can be concluded which genotype is better to be cultivated in a stressful and non-stressful environment.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This paper is interesting. However, are necessary some modifications and complementary information for better your comprehension.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manuel Cezar Macedo B. N. de Souza
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Brazil