



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_52904
Title of the Manuscript:	Beneficial Components of Colostrum for Cancer Patients: a Mini-review Focused on Oxidative Aspects and Properties of Colostrinin
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations to the authors for the manuscript of important medical relevance. Below are some comments and suggestions I believe that are needed to increase the quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>Abstract / Keywords: too much</p> <p>Methodology, some questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Was this review reported following the guidelines like PRISMA for example? If yes, please include. ✓ Eligibility criteria? Study design: nonexperimental designs (observational, cohort studies, surveys, cross-sectional and longitudinal) inclusion and exclusion criteria. Primary and secondary outcomes? ✓ Information sources and search strategy: Were these articles selected from databases, for example, Cochrane Library, PubMed/Medline, without limitations regarding language or date? <p>It's very important include!</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ How was methodological quality assessed to minimize risk of bias? ✓ How were the data analyzes conducted? What software was used to perform the analyzes of this review? <p>In any manuscript it is always very important to describe how the data analyzes were performed.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very well written and of high and important medical relevance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flávia Del Castanhel
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil