



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_52469
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of medicinal plants in traditional medicine in Adwa district, Tigray, Northern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Section on methodology and details of conduct of the study is absent and this is very important to know how the study was conducted. For example what were the cultural norms observed during the survey, was there any pre survey visit, was there any general training for the interviewers, how were the respondents selected and communicated was there a prior informed consent section in the questionnaires that were used or during the group discussion, was the Intellectual property issue discussed before the practitioners provided information, was there any form of compensation given to the Traditional Medicine Practitioners. Please include these details if carried out. This is important to the body of knowledge being shared as this is part of the internal acceptable standards.</p> <p>This may also explain how only 25 medicinal plants were obtained as information from interviewing 20 practitioners it is too low if they all yielded information.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are minor typographical errors like use of word live or lives in line 12 of background section;</p> <p>Provide brief information on the population of the sample area and why did you only interview 20 practitioners , what was the period of the study and in what year was it conducted .</p> <p>Provide an idea of the various segments and likely questions on the questionnaire that was administered</p> <p>How did you get information on medicinal plants used for treating livestock, was it from the same Traditional medicine practitioners as this will be quite interesting of traditional medicine practitioners treat both humans and livestock and state examples of the livestock that are so treated.</p> <p>The conclusion should also touch on the various disease conditions being treated with medicinal plants and its effect on health care systems and its sustainability.</p> <p>Figure 3 is not clear, it is actually confusing, and does it reflect what you have in table 3 alone or for the entire 25 medicinal plants as stated under medicinal plants distribution under results. Clarify and re do the figure.</p> <p>Under the gender based traditional knowledge, what does the first statement mean; it is not clear and hanging.</p> <p>Under Conclusion, the first statement should read these findings.....</p>	
Optional/General comments	Read through the entire manuscript to identify and correct typographical errors before resubmittin	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Adeola Jegede</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National Institute for Pharmaceutical Research and Development, Nigeria</i>