



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_53597
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Plant Density on Growth and Yield of Maize [Zea mays (L.)] Hybrids at Luyengo. Middleveld of Eswatini
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It would be very useful to add the main recommendations in the abstract section.</p> <p>I recommend the authors to present the novelty of this research compared to previous research.</p> <p>The Literature Section is missing.</p> <p>The authors must develop the conclusions and add the recommendations.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor spelling and editorial mistakes should be addressed.	
Optional/General comments	<p>In general, a very good and attractive subject.</p> <p>The authors were very clear in their research plan.</p> <p>The methodology is appropriate.</p> <p>The results are clear, well presented appropriate to support the discussion about the objectives of the paper.</p> <p>Generally, the manuscript is technically sound and sustains insightful remarks, it can be published after the consideration of the review comments suggested.</p> <p>Copy of corrected manuscript is attached</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moataz Eliw Mostafa
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, Egypt