



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_53911
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIATION OF MINERAL AND HEAVY METAL CONTENT IN LEMNA CULTURE FERTILIZED BY BIOSLURRY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The reviewer acknowledges and values the research conducted by the author(s). However, the innovation of the research is low. Authors presented results without deep analysis of other minerals necessary for growing Lemna feed. Conclusions are obvious. I suggest that the authors should reorganize the paper in a way to obtain relevant data that will be useful to determine the content of other relevant minerals in various doses of bioslurry which is to be cultured for the cultivation of lemna feed in efficient way.</li> <li>The study was done without good replications and results may not be reliable since it could be due to chance. Authors are advised to replicate each test at least three consecutive times for each bioslurry sampled at different mineral contents.</li> <li>In result and discussion, other works which are related with the study are not well written. The implication(s) of each parameter were not properly stated at the right place.</li> <li>The conclusion should include the most important idea of the experiment, the author's own findings, possible solutions to the problem, recommendations for further research, etc.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor corrections are needed on references.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	S.N.Onuoha
Department, University & Country	Auchi Polytechnic, Nigeria