



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_58582
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated effects of chlorosulfuron , Nitrogen fertilizer, and varieties on striga management in sorghum.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Characterize the herbicide and sorghum varieties to be able to infer in the results which factors were responsible for the greater or lesser emergence of the weed.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Scientific name starts with letter uppercase. - The word variety is already in the title not to repeat in the keywords. - Add a small paragraph about the herbicide, indicating its mode and more information that may be relevant for the reader to understand this article. Write another paragraph presenting the sorghum varieties, indicating the main characteristics of each one. - Specify the months in which the experiment was carried out. Which season of the year, whether it was raining or was a dry season. 	
Optional/General comments	I left the comments marked in the attached text.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Elizzandra Marta Martins Gandini</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Federal of the Jequitinhonha and Mucuri Valleys, Brazil</i>