



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_58582
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated effect of chlorosulfuron , Nitrogen fertilizer, and varieties on striga management in sorghum.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall, the manuscript is very good and need of the hour for management of parasitic weed of striga. Content and study are very beneficial from weed management point of view, but few of correction should be made by authors. Author is advised to go through the article and comments correction critically</p> <p>Some of modification is</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Modify title and abstract, keep it precise, giving brief background of the study, its importance, current research being carried out, gaps in literature, and the purpose/intent of your study. 2. Set keywords in ascending order 3. Abstract need little modification 4. Connectivity and link should be followed in results and discussions 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Corrected typological mistake 2. Follow the standard patterns of references 3. Set table and other grammatically correction 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Follow same trend of references and Give appropriate references 2. Revise according to the format of journal 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohitash Bajiya
Department, University & Country	Agriculture University, Rajasthan, India