



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_61370
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] to Rates of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizer under Irrigation in Central Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract needs to be more elaborated and refined Introduction needs to be updated with more information basing on the topics Conclusion has to be refined again	
Minor REVISION comments	Use of Italics	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes, since this crops seems to be a very important crop in their region and so its very much relevant with the study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lowrence Kithan
Department, University & Country	Nagaland University, India