



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_52230
Title of the Manuscript:	Print Culture in the Sultanate of Riau-Lingga during the Late Nineteenth and Early Twentieth Centuries
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Keywords should be arranged alphabetically, using words e.g. printing culture, press, Rushdiah club, etc</p> <p>There should be a well defined statement of problem to guide the readers on what the author intends to achieve.</p> <p>The methodology should be stated</p> <p>There was no findings/recommendation for future development or study</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Check for spelling and grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments	There's room for more improvement	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Etim
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria