



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_52230
Title of the Manuscript:	Print Culture in the Sultanate of Riau-Lingga during the Late Nineteenth and Early Twentieth Centuries
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is some kind of desk research. Some of the parts are not clear.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Who is the target reader of this article? 2. What is the purpose of the article? Suggest to add research objectives and research gap. 3. What methods of research were used (methods are not named)? Where the research was carried out? What was the purpose? Anyway, the methodology looks like theory. 4. What is the aim of this article? 5. What are the findings? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Wasantha Rajapakshe</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>SLIIT Business School, Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, Sri Lanka</i>