



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_58763
Title of the Manuscript:	Mental Health and Loneliness: A Comparative Study of Glocalizing View of Gender Based Violence in Awka Town
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract section: This can be made under small headings; aim, methodology and result. 2. Use "past tense" consistently in the manuscript. 3. I suggest you to include more recent publications for the background/introduction section 4. Include 'Aim & Objectives' before stating hypotheses 5. Hypotheses need to be simple & quantitative terms (Viz. score of mental health or loneliness etc.) and advise you to consider reframing 6. In 'abstract' part the sampling method mentioned is "Convenient sampling" whereas it is mentioned as "systematic sampling method" under the "Participants" heading. Please use terms consistently. Instruments section: It would be better if you could mention the instruments under bullets. 7. The authors should describe the steps they took to control the research situation as well as any confounding variables. Methods to protect the rights of the subjects should be specified with particular emphasis on screening and consent procedures. 8. The authors should provide the rationale for selection of the Two by Two (2x2) Factorial Design research design and the way they have assigned the subjects in to the groups. 9. I suggest for more clarity in the result section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I suggest for following guidelines specified by the ARJASS for writing the background section. You need to be aware of "Plagiarism" and I advise you to review manuscript relating the research area, and then give a writing based on these of your own. Simply copying the literature and pasting as it is in the manuscript is unethical. You must acknowledge the contribution by putting appropriate citation and references. 2. Include more relevant studies in the 'discussion' section. And avoid the dated studies at the maximum. 	
Optional/General comments	In this manuscript, the authors addressed a common but neglected issue, that of establishing the scientific evidence on relationship between mental health and loneliness and Glocalizing view of gender based violence in Awka town. I complement the authors for their effort to address this issue. I hope my feedback will be helpful as the authors continue to address this area of research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Bivin J.B</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Government Mental Health Centre, Thiruvnanthapuram, India</i>