



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_51776
Title of the Manuscript:	Poverty Status among Irrigators and Homestead Gardeners in Eastern Cape Province of South Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper, while reporting on research that is useful and interesting, needs extensive rewriting before it can be published:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction must make it clearer what are the gaps in knowledge that are going to be addressed by the research. These gaps in knowledge should be constructed as research questions and, preferably, hypotheses which can be investigated in the research. - The sampling strategy and size should be properly explained. Questionnaire design and testing should be specified. Data collection methods should be added. Tests for reliability and for normality of the data should be included. - A comparison between the sample achieved and the overall population would be very helpful. - A discussion section is required which would deal with the (necessary) claim for contribution to academic knowledge. - The conclusion should include the research limitations and suggestions for future research. - The recommendations provided are not supported by the research and should be deleted. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	School of Business and Management, Vietnam