



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_51776
Title of the Manuscript:	Poverty Status among Irrigators and Homestead Gardeners in Eastern Cape Province of South Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Introduction 2. Discussion of findings 3. Conclusion 4. References	1. Author stated the problem clearly as well s the motivation but failed to state the rationale, identified gaps based on past empirical studies, marginal contributions and organization of the paper. 2. Author presented most of the findings without stating the their economic implications in relation to the study area. 3. Author did not present the conclusion part based on key findings which is an important part of an empirical paper. 4. Author should avoid using "&" in between names of authors in the final reference. In text citation, & is allowed in between authors' names.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author demonstrated fair knowledge of the topic and used systematic approach to achieve the stated objectives.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Umar Muhammad Gummi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sokoto State University, Nigeria</b>