

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_74968
Title of the Manuscript:	Picard and Adomian solutions of a nonlocal Cauchy problem of a delay differential equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this study, authors present two methods which are Adomian decomposition method (ADM) and Picard method solve a nonlocal Cauchy problem of a delay differential equation. The existence and uniqueness of the solution are proved. Also the results are compared. The results seems good. However, the following corrections should be made to improve the quality of the paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are some typo mistakes and punctuations. Paper should be improved in view of grammar. 2. Introduction should be enlarged. 3. Some equations not numbered. Please do numbering to all equations. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. More detailed information should be added. 5. Conclusion should be revised. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>References are needed to be checked well. Also some references can be added for example, "On a boundary value problem for a differential equation with variant retarded argument , Applied Mathematics and Computation , 93, 63-71." "On approximate solution of a boundary value problem with retarded argument, Erzincan University Journal of Science and Technology, 7-1 Yil: 2014 93-103 "</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seda İğret Araz
Department, University & Country	Siirt University, Turkey