



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50593
Title of the Manuscript:	SUPPOSITORY EFFECT OF AQUEOUS ROOT EXTRACT OF MORINGA OLEIFERA ON ALCOHOL INDUCE LIVER TOXCITY IN WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic seems pertinent, but should be more clearly specified . Technical perfection seemed lacking in the article viz, fond size, spacing alignment etc. Reference may be arranged in uniform style APA/MLA etc.	
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling errors seen in many places in this article. Scientific name of the plant was seen misspelt	
Optional/General comments	May be published after the corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mini K. D.
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi University, India