



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_51483
Title of the Manuscript:	CELL MEMBRANE STABILITY AND RELATVE WATER CONTENT OF CYMBOPOGON CITRATUS (LEMON GRASS)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The introduction section begins with the drought and environmental conditions in northern part of Nigeria, yet the manuscript topic is water regimes and lemon grass. It is recommended to authors to add one or two sentence after the first chapter in introduction section regarding the importance of irrigation practice in drought condition.</p> <p>Weather condition during the growing period is poorly explained. Author should state the amount of rainfall and air temperature and compare to long term average or plant water needs so that the need for irrigation is clear enough.</p> <p>Soil properties are missing.</p> <p>Irrigation technique is not clear enough (drip irrigation, sprinkler ??)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	It is recommended to use abbreviations after first mention. For example, after first mentioning of relative water content (RWC) further in text should be RWC.	
Optional/General comments	The study is very interesting as well as the results and I recommend to publish manuscript after the revision	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Marković
Department, University & Country	University of Josip Juraj Strossmayer in Osijek, Croatia