



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_52125
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness Test of Orchid Mycorrhizal Isolate Indonesia and Its Role As A Biofertilizer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Few Corrections</p> <p>Abstract Abstract Aim: Mention the two Indonesian mycorrhizal isolates.</p> <p>Abstract line 6, line 48, 50, 51, 56, 60, 78, 80, in all the tables (1, 2, 3, & 4) planlet should be corrected to plantlet.</p> <p>Abstract results: Line 5 and line 110 days 3 and 4 should be day 3 and 4. Line 46: Dextro Agar should be Dextrose Agar.</p> <p>Line 55: Reconstruct the sentence for clarity.</p> <p>Line 57: Plantlets are regrown and not regrowed.</p> <p>Line 94 and 132: Should be life roots and not live roots.</p> <p>Line 104: Since you began with week 1, follow the sequence week 2, week 3, and 4.</p> <p>Line 108: Should be week 1 and 2 and not weeks 1 and 2.</p> <p>Line 124: Begin with N, delete element.</p> <p>In abstract results line 3 and conclusion line 2, Line 11, 30, 44, 74, 90, 95, 98, 100, 108, 133 Ticodherma should be corrected to Tricoderma.</p> <p>In results and discussion, link your results to Tables before discussion. There is no mention of Table in that section.</p>	
Optional/General comments	God work. Keep it up.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ann A. J. Mofunanya
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria.