### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_53801
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Acetylcholinesterase and Butylcholinesterase inhibitory activities of G. asiatica and G. tiliaefolia (family Tiliaceae) leaves
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory REVISION comments		his/her feedback here)
Compaisory REVISION Comments	METHODS	
	-The authors described the procedure for extraction of the plant material using absolute	
	ethanol (CEEGA: Crude ethanolic extract of G. asitica) but no reported procedure for the	
	other extracts. It may not be logical to have one extract yet present results for extracts that	
	have not been reported previously.	
	RESULTS - What do columns <b>a, b</b> and <b>c</b> represent in Table 1, 2, 3 and 4.	
	-Do not use both tables and figures for same result; You can either present the results in	
	tabular form or as barchart/graph.	
	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS -State the statistical method (s) that was used for analysing the results.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Wilson Obidah
Department, University & Country	Modibbo Adama University of Technology Yola, Nigeria

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)