



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_54140
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of a commercial ELISA kit for screening AOZ, a furazolidone antibiotic residue in shrimp
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Just some edits to a few grammatical errors throughout the paper. Do not start a sentence with an abbreviation or symbol (ex. %).	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	This is an extremely well written and composed paper. The author used the template provided by the journal to perfection! Interesting subject as well. The methods were detailed and concise. Excellent article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Burke
Department, University & Country	University of Arkansas, USA