



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_54844
Title of the Manuscript:	Farm Households Food Demand and its Determinants in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Please provide much more detail on the data you used what questions were on the survey what secondary sources dd you use?</p> <p>2. You call for price stabilization. This is much easier said than done? Give more info on how it should be done (or least acknowlege the complexity)</p> <p>3. You call for low credit for farmers. This seems unrelated and not supported by your data</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	I highlighted a few grammar problems and other minor issues in the	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Conner
Department, University & Country	Community Development and Applied Economics Department, USA