



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_54844
Title of the Manuscript:	Farm Households Food Demand and its Determinants in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	In abstract: The text indicated as conclusion is a recommendation. I suggest including a conclusion consistent with the objective. In conclusions: the first paragraph can be included in discussion and must be withdrawn from conclusions. I suggest that the ethical aspects of the study be included, informed consent to participate in the survey.	
Optional/General comments	The study assessed the share of monthly spending of various food categories in the total food budget of agricultural households in Nigeria, analyzing the elasticities of food class spending and determining the factors that influence food demand. The methodology used was adequate and its results are important for researchers and field managers who must use these results for purposes of improving the demand for food from agricultural households. I suggest that after the observations are raised, the manuscript be published in the journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No. I suggest that the ethical aspects of the study be included, informed consent to participate in the survey.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jorge Isaac Castro Bedriñana</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú, Peru.</i>