



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_59779
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Peanut Milk and its Functional, Physiochemical, Nutritional and Sensory Characteristics
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Review comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The study is a new product 'Production of Peanut Milk', where the theme and results are interesting. According to my opinion, the paper can be published in Annual Research & Review in Biology. Some corrections are needed: <p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Keywords: Put keywords different from the title. The authors could update the bibliographic review. Materials and Methods: corrections is in the document 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tatianne Ferreira de Oliveira</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Goiás, Brazil</i>