



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_63060
Title of the Manuscript:	Deeper, larger, more common: Cryptic coral species <i>Podabacia</i> benefits from reef recovery
Type of the Article	Short communication

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Pls, Change the Scale-Bar position to an area clearly visible (Fig 1 & 3).	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Interesting contribution to the knowledge of the distribution and abundance of the species.</p> <p>It might be opportune to strengthen the discussion a little with some reflection on the impact that this finding has on the biogeography of the species and its status as a species Not evaluated in the IUCN and the inclusion of the group of Scleractinia as a group within the Appendix II of CITES. One or two paragraphs... only a suggestion.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ernesto Ron</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad de Oriente, Venezuela</b>