



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_64881
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of induced breeding technique for freshwater fish gobi, <i>Glossogobius giuris</i> (Hamilton, 1822) using pituitary gland (PG) extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reference was old and must be updating to recent 5 years at least One reference only in 2015 and this represented 2.8% from total references Listed	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript was important to field and was related to breeding of gobi fresh water fish , the research idea was good and method to achieves the manuscript was good. The abstract was clear and give all details about the aim of research and how to reach the goal of it. In introduction paragraph must be corrected and ordered as I noted in main manuscript . In methodology must correct as I noted in main manuscript and take care about the time scheduling of your experiment The result was clear but you should add male result as table or text to it as you do in female The discussion required minor revision and compare the result obtain in this research with other and explain why the high and low dose not effective (inhibitory loop or feedback) also explain how August climate can effect on fish fertility and reproductive The conclusion was suitable	
Optional/General comments	Updating the references	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ammar M. Al-Aalim
Department, University & Country	Mosul University, Iraq