



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_62665
Title of the Manuscript:	Pedogenic and contemporal issues of fluvisol characterization and utilisation in the Wetlands of Bamenda Municipality, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>An excellent study that highlights the shortcomings that this is the micro-regional agricultural area what shortcomings it is struggling with and offers a solution to deal with it.</p> <p>The whole study was based on one of the cornerstones of sustainable agriculture, which is rare and of great value for its content.</p> <p>I recommend that you take this into account when doing local municipality, the environmental studies because it is a good foundation on which to build.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The interpretation of laboratory tests and their values can even be extended to European soils, which fills a gap in current studies on Fluvisols.</p> <p>The measured data and the conclusions drawn from them are fully accurate and highlight the shortcomings of the current soil classifications.</p> <p>Acceptance of corrections / recommendations in the bubbles is not mandatory, just a comment.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Aside from minor flaws, it's a great study.</p> <p>By inserting the nominated authors, correcting the errors, there will be a gap-filling manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nikoletta Edit Nagy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Debrecen, Hungary</i>