



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_52402
Title of the Manuscript:	Successful percutaneous device closure of ventricular septal rupture in a patient with Acute AAMI and cardiogenic shock
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	From general study of the work author uses too much abbreviation which I see as a form of laziness. Author should edit the work and write many if not all in full e.g AAMI, MI, PCI e.t.c Author detail to follow topic In abstract, author should state the DEVICE used In REFERENCES, Author should remove all et al and insert the names of other authors if any.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Awofadeju Stephen Olajide
Department, University & Country	Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex, Wesley Guild Hospital Unit, Nigeria