



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_51282
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic screening of elite rice germplasms against Brown Planthopper [Nilaparvata lugens (Stal.)] for identification of resistant donor lines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The information provided in the introduction and the literature review are very scanty. The authors should provide more information on the insect and breeding for its resistance in earlier studies.	
Minor REVISION comments	The authors gave information on Standard System of scoring disease damage but not classification into resistance and susceptible genotypes. Yet they went ahead and classified the genotypes into susceptible, moderately resistance and highly resistance. They should first define the classification of the resistance status based on the disease scoring system. Secondly, the authors should clearly state the objectives of the study.	
Optional/General comments	This is a very informative paper. The authors should provide more information on evaluation for resistance to the insect and the relationship between the disease damage score and the actual resistance of the genotypes to the insect.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Joseph Adjebeng-Danquah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>CSIR-Savanna Agriculture Research Institute, Ghana</i>